Late-time evolution of cosmological models with fluids obeying a Shan-Chen-like equation of state

Donato Bini[1,2], Giampiero Esposito[2], Andrea Geralico[1]
[1]*Istituto per le Applicazioni del Calcolo "M. Picone," CNR, I-00185 Rome, Italy*
[2]*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Napoli,*
Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo, Via Cintia, Edificio 6, 80126 Napoli, Italy
(Dated: January 19, 2016)

Classical as well as quantum features of the late-time evolution of cosmological models with fluids obeying a Shan-Chen-like equation of state are studied. The latter is of the type $p = w_{\rm eff}(\rho)\,\rho$, and has been used in previous works to describe, e.g., a possible scenario for the growth of the dark-energy content of the present Universe. At the classical level the fluid dynamics in a spatially flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker background implies the existence of two possible equilibrium solutions depending on the model parameters, associated with (asymptotic) finite pressure and energy density. We show that no future cosmological singularity is developed during the evolution for this specific model. The corresponding quantum effects in the late-time behavior of the system are also investigated within the framework of quantum geometrodynamics, i.e., by solving the (minisuperspace) Wheeler-DeWitt equation in the Born-Oppenheimer approximation, constructing wave-packets and analyzing their behavior.

PACS numbers: 04.60.Ds, 98.80.Qc

arXiv:1601.04177v1 [gr-qc] 16 Jan 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

Most investigations in modern cosmology assume our Universe to be described by a Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) background filled by a cosmological fluid endowed with suitable properties, or equivalently a scalar field with appropriate (self-interacting) kinetic and potential energies. The scalar-field dynamics should mimic different kinds of matter-energy during each phase of the cosmological evolution up to the present epoch, which is characterized by an accelerating expansion of the Universe. In this respect, we have recently investigated the role of cosmological fluids obeying an equation of state of the type $p = w_{\rm eff}(\rho)\,\rho$, extensively used in the context of lattice kinetic theory to model dynamic phase transitions, i.e., the Shan-Chen (SC) equation of state [1]. Its main property is to support phase transitions, so that in a cosmological context different epochs in the evolution of the Universe can be described as a natural consequence of the SC fluid dynamical equations. Furthermore, because of its flexibility, it makes it possible to represent a broad variety of cosmological fluids, from radiation to "exotic" fluids with negative pressure, through a smooth variation of its free parameters.

SC-based cosmological models have been successfully applied to account for the current distribution of dark energy as well as to describe the inflationary epoch [2, 3]. Constraints on the free parameters of the model have been derived in Ref. [4] according to current observational data of the cosmic microwave background and baryon acoustic oscillation spectra, which enforce the validity of these models. In fact, they were previously tested only on available data from measurements of distant type Ia supernovae. The aim of the present work is to study the late-time evolution of such models, hence extending our previous analysis. We will investigate the properties of (equilibrium) attractor solutions, including their stability against small perturbations, as well as the possible occurrence of future singularities. We will also develop a quantum analysis based on the Wheeler-DeWitt (WDW) equation [5] in the framework of quantum geometrodynamics, in order to investigate the role of the associated quantum effects for large values of the scale factor. This approach is largely used in the literature to study the quantum avoidance of classical singularities as well as quantum effects at large cosmological scales [6–9]. Other approaches include path-integral quantization, loop quantum cosmology, and string theory (see, e.g., Ref. [10] for a recent review).

The paper is organized as follows. Section II briefly recalls the main properties of SC cosmological models and their equivalent formulation in terms of an ordinary canonical scalar field, describing then both scalar-field dynamics and late-time evolution. The asymptotic dynamics determines the profile of the interaction potential. Section III studies the quantum-cosmology counterpart, when the WDW equation can be reduced to a partial differential equation, and the wave function can be expanded in terms of "fast" and "slow" degrees of freedom according to the Born-Oppenheimer (BO) approximation. Explicit forms of such degrees of freedom are found for both SC attractor solutions and wave packets are constructed. Concluding remarks and open problems are summarized in Sec. IV.

II. SCALAR FIELD SHAN-CHEN MODEL

Let us consider a spatially flat FRW universe, i.e., with squared line element $\mathrm{d}s^2 = -\mathrm{d}t^2 + a^2(\mathrm{d}x^2 + \mathrm{d}y^2 + \mathrm{d}z^2)$, where $a = a(t)$ is the scale factor and $c = 1$, filled by a perfect fluid obeying a nonideal SC-like equation of state, that we write in the form

$$\begin{aligned} p &= w_{(\mathrm{in})}\rho_{(\mathrm{crit}),0}\left[\frac{\rho}{\rho_{(\mathrm{crit}),0}} + \frac{g}{2}\psi^2\right], \\ \psi &= 1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha_{\mathrm{sc}}\frac{\rho}{\rho_{(\mathrm{crit}),0}}}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $\rho_{(\mathrm{crit}),0} = 3H_0^2/\kappa^2$ is the present value of the critical density (H_0 denoting the Hubble constant and $\kappa^2 = 8\pi G$). The dimensionless quantities $w_{(\mathrm{in})}$, g and α_{sc} are free parameters of the model, each of them carrying a well-defined physical meaning: $w_{(\mathrm{in})}$ describes the nature of matter, ordinary (positive) or exotic (negative), in both high-density and low-density limits; $g \leq 0$ measures the strength of nonideal interactions within the fluid; $\alpha_{\mathrm{sc}} \geq 0$ sets the ratio between the actual critical density and a threshold density above which the excess pressure (with respect to the ideal gas behavior) saturates to a constant value, a regime often associated with "asymptotic freedom," as it corresponds to a vanishing contribution of nonideal forces to the momentum budget of the fluid. The idea of asymptotic freedom at high-density short-distance regimes has played a crucial role in the development of quantum field theory, specifically in the framework of quantum chromodynamics [11].

Under the assumption that the SC fluid dominates over other matter-energy degrees of freedom, the field equations are

$$H^2 = \frac{\kappa^2}{3}\rho, \qquad \dot{\rho} = -3H(\rho + p), \tag{2.2}$$

where $H = \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble parameter, and an overdot indicates derivative with respect to the cosmic time t. It is convenient to introduce the following set of dimensionless variables:

$$\xi \equiv \frac{\rho}{\rho_{(\mathrm{crit}),0}}, \qquad x \equiv \frac{a}{a_0}, \qquad \tau \equiv H_0 t, \tag{2.3}$$

so that Eqs. (2.2) become

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = x\sqrt{\xi}, \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = -3\sqrt{\xi}[\xi + w_{(\mathrm{in})}\mathcal{P}(\xi)], \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}(\xi) = \xi + \frac{1}{2}g\psi^2(\xi), \qquad \psi(\xi) = 1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha_{\mathrm{sc}}\xi}. \tag{2.5}$$

The (rescaled, dimensionless) pressure $\mathcal{P}(\xi)$ reduces to the ideal gas expression $\mathcal{P}(\xi) \sim \xi$ in the low-density limit, whereas in the high-density limit it gives $\mathcal{P} \sim \xi + \frac{1}{2}g$, i.e., the excess pressure is just a constant, often associated with vacuum fluctuations. Noticeably, by changing the parameters of the model, i.e., $w_{(\mathrm{in})}$, g and α_{sc}, the SC equation of state can attain a broad range of values of cosmological interest for the ratio

$$w_{\mathrm{eff}} \equiv \frac{p}{\rho} = w_{(\mathrm{in})}\left(1 + \frac{g}{2}\frac{\psi^2}{\xi}\right). \tag{2.6}$$

In fact, because of the Einstein's equations fluid dynamics, the SC fluid might undergo a transition from ordinary matter ($w_{\mathrm{eff}} > 0$) to exotic matter ($w_{\mathrm{eff}} < 0$) and viceversa, as shown in Fig. 1. We refer to Ref. [2] for more details on SC thermodynamics and the microscopic foundations of the SC equation of state.

A. Late-time evolution

We are interested here in investigating the late-time evolution of SC cosmological models. The field equations (2.4) imply that there exist attractor (equilibrium) solutions corresponding to $\xi = 0$ and $\xi = \xi_* \neq 0$ given by the roots of the equation

$$\xi_* + w_{(\mathrm{in})}\mathcal{P}(\xi_*) = 0, \tag{2.7}$$



FIG. 1: The behavior of the effective equation of state $w_{\rm eff} \equiv p/\rho$ as a function of the dimensionless scale factor x is shown for a SC model with parameters $w_{(\rm in)} = 1/3$, $g = -8$ and different values of $\alpha_{\rm sc} = [1, 2, 2.4, 3, 5]$. Initial conditions are chosen so that at the present time $\tau = \tau_0$ one has $x(\tau_0) = 1$ and $\xi(\tau_0) = 1$. Notice that for large values of the scale factor $w_{\rm eff} \to w_{(\rm in)}$ for $\alpha_{\rm sc} = [1, 2, 2.4]$, whereas $w_{\rm eff} \to -1$ for $\alpha_{\rm sc} = [3, 5]$, showing the existence of a critical value of $\alpha_{\rm sc}$ ($\alpha_{\rm sc}^{\rm crit} \approx 2.455$), as discussed in the text. For $x \to 0$, instead, $w_{\rm eff}$ approaches the common value $w_{(\rm in)} = 1/3$.

which can be at most two, for fixed values of the parameters, as already discussed in Ref. [2]. The previous equation, in fact, yields the condition

$$F_{\alpha_{\rm sc}}(\xi_*) \equiv \frac{\xi_*}{\left(1 - {\rm e}^{-\alpha_{\rm sc}\xi_*}\right)^2} = \frac{w_{(\rm in)}|g|}{2(1 + w_{(\rm in)})}, \tag{2.8}$$

for fixed values of $\alpha_{\rm sc}$ and $w_{(\rm in)} > 0$. We will not consider below the case of a scalar field which behaves as a phantom field in both low and high density regimes, i.e., $w_{(\rm in)} < -1$. If $-1 \leq w_{(\rm in)} \leq 0$, instead, the condition (2.7) cannot be satisfied, so that there exists the attractor solution $\xi = 0$ only. The function $F_{\alpha_{\rm sc}}(\xi)$ exhibits a minimum at $\xi_*^{\rm min} = -\frac{1}{2\alpha_{\rm sc}}\left[2W_{-1}\left(-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{e}}\right) + 1\right] \approx 1.256/\alpha_{\rm sc}$, with value $F_{\alpha_{\rm sc}}(\xi_*^{\rm min}) \approx 2.455/\alpha_{\rm sc}$, where $W_{-1}(z)$ denotes the branch of the Lambert W function satisfying $W(z) \leq -1$. The existence of two roots for Eq. (2.8) is thus guaranteed if $2.455/\alpha_{\rm sc} \lessapprox w_{(\rm in)}|g|/2(1 + w_{(\rm in)})$. Figure 2 shows the pair of attractor solutions $\xi = \xi_*$ for a selected parameter choice, only one of them being reached during the evolution, depending on the chosen initial conditions. Therefore, for fixed values of the parameters $w_{(\rm in)}$ and g, there exists a critical value of $\alpha_{\rm sc}$ which discriminates between the two possible asymptotic states, i.e.,

$$\alpha_{\rm sc}^{\rm crit} \approx 2.455 \frac{2(1 + w_{(\rm in)})}{w_{(\rm in)}|g|}, \tag{2.9}$$

such that $\xi \to 0$ for $\alpha_{\rm sc} < \alpha_{\rm sc}^{\rm crit}$ and $\xi \to \xi_*$ for $\alpha_{\rm sc} > \alpha_{\rm sc}^{\rm crit}$. For instance, for the choice of parameters $w_{(\rm in)} = 1/3$ and $g = -8$ we get $\alpha_{\rm sc}^{\rm crit} \approx 2.455$ (see Fig. 2). The corresponding set of equilibrium solutions $\xi = \xi_*$ for different values of $\alpha_{\rm sc}$ is listed in Table I.

The late-time evolution of the effective equation of state parameter (2.6) is thus given by

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\rm eff} &= w_{(\rm in)}\left(1 + \frac{g}{2}\alpha_{\rm sc}^2\xi\right) + {\rm O}(\xi^2), \qquad \xi \to 0, \\ w_{\rm eff} &= w_{(\rm in)}\left(1 + \frac{g}{2}\frac{\psi_*^2}{\xi_*}\right) + {\rm O}(\xi - \xi_*), \qquad \xi \to \xi_*, \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where $\psi_* = \psi(\xi_*)$, so that $w_{\rm eff} \to w_{(\rm in)}$ for $\xi \to 0$, while $w_{\rm eff} \to -1$ for $\xi \to \xi_*$. Therefore, the SC models evolve towards a universe filled by an ordinary fluid with barotropic equation of state $p = w_{(\rm in)}\rho$ in the former case, whereas in the latter case they approach a de Sitter universe with $p = -\rho = -\rho_*$. The stability properties of the attractor solutions are discussed in Appendix A.



(a) (b)

FIG. 2: Panel (a). The behavior of the function $F_{\alpha_{\rm sc}}$ (see Eq. (2.8)) determining the attractor solutions $\xi=\xi_*$ (see Eq. (2.8)) is shown for different values of $\alpha_{\rm sc}=[1,2,2.4,3,5]$. The existence of two solutions is evident by drawing horizontal lines. The dashed line corresponds to the choice of parameters $w_{\rm (in)}=1/3$, $g=-8$, leading to $F_{\alpha_{\rm sc}}=1$, so that two roots do exist for $\alpha_{\rm sc}\gtrsim 2.455$. Panel (b). The set of equilibrium solutions $\xi=\xi_*$ is shown as a function of $\alpha_{\rm sc}$ greater than the critical value $\alpha_{\rm sc}^{\rm crit}\approx 2.455$ (dashed horizontal line). The solutions $\xi_*\to 0,1$ are approached in the limit $\alpha_{\rm sc}\to\infty$.

B. Scalar field description

Let the SC fluid be dynamically implemented by a homogeneous scalar field $\phi(t)$ minimally coupled to gravity, with energy density ρ and pressure p related to the kinetic and potential energy in a standard way, i.e.,

$$\rho=\frac{\dot\phi^2}{2}+V,\qquad p=\frac{\dot\phi^2}{2}-V, \tag{2.11}$$

so that

$$V=\frac{1}{2}(\rho-p),\qquad \dot\phi^2=\rho+p. \tag{2.12}$$

The field equations (2.2) imply that the dynamics of the scalar field is governed by the equation

$$\ddot\phi+3H\dot\phi+V'=0, \tag{2.13}$$

where a prime denotes differentiation with respect to the scalar field ϕ.

In terms of the dimensionless variables (2.3) the potential takes the form

$$\frac{V}{\rho_{\rm (crit),0}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi-w_{\rm (in)}\mathcal{P}(\xi)\right), \tag{2.14}$$

whereas the evolution equation for the scalar field reads as

$$\kappa\frac{{\rm d}\phi}{{\rm d}\tau}=\pm\sqrt{3(\xi+w_{\rm (in)}\mathcal{P})}. \tag{2.15}$$

An example of numerical integration of the model equations (2.4) and (2.15) is shown in Figs. 3 and 4 for the same choice of parameters as well as initial conditions as in Fig. 1.

Figure 3 shows the behavior of ϕ and $\dot\phi^2$ as a function of the dimensionless scale factor x for selected values of the parameters. The scalar field has two branches, each of them either extending to infinity ($\phi_\pm\to\pm\infty$) or approaching a finite constant value ($\phi_\pm\to\phi_\pm^*$) for large x, depending on the chosen value of $\alpha_{\rm sc}$ (for fixed $w_{\rm (in)}$ and g). The associated kinetic energy is always positive in the entire domain and vanishes asymptotically.

Figure 4 shows, instead, the profile $V=V(\phi)$ of the SC potential. For every fixed value of $\alpha_{\rm sc}$, the left (right) branch V_+ (V_-) corresponds to ϕ_+ (ϕ_-). Therefore, the potential either vanishes asymptotically ($V_\pm\to 0$ for $\phi_\pm\to\pm\infty$) or tends to a constant ($V_\pm\to V_*$ for $\phi_\pm\to\phi_\pm^*$).

TABLE I: The pair of equilibrium solutions $\xi = \xi_*^{\pm}$ is shown for different values of $\alpha_{\rm sc}$ greater than the critical value $\alpha_{\rm sc}^{\rm crit} \approx 2.4554$ such that $\xi_*^- \simeq \xi_*^+ \approx 0.5117$. Note that $\xi \to \xi_*^+$ at late times.

$\alpha_{\rm sc}$	ξ_*^-	ξ_*^+
2.45540748	0.51169966	0.51169969
2.4555	0.50659526	0.51680963
2.456	0.49879508	0.52463974
2.46	0.47591246	0.54776068
2.5	0.40262914	0.62332204
3	0.19258836	0.84988278
3.5	0.12405732	0.92230203
4	0.08793621	0.95696028
4.5	0.06596326	0.97532713
5	0.05145050	0.98556820
5.5	0.04131315	0.99145133
6	0.03393271	0.99489482
6.5	0.02838350	0.99693497
7	0.02410150	0.99815336
7.5	0.02072581	0.99888485
8	0.01801619	0.99932556
9	0.01398286	0.99975265
10	0.01117017	0.99990912
15	0.00477222	0.99999939

C. Asymptotic profile of the SC potential

In order to find an explicit form of the potential when approaching the asymptotic regime, let us solve the SC dynamical equations in this limit. They are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{{\rm d}\xi}{{\rm d}\alpha} &= -\lambda^2\xi\left[1+\frac{3}{2}\frac{w_{(\rm in)}g}{\lambda^2}\frac{\psi^2(\xi)}{\xi}\right], \\ \kappa\frac{{\rm d}\phi_\pm}{{\rm d}\alpha} &= \pm\lambda\sqrt{1+\frac{3}{2}\frac{w_{(\rm in)}g}{\lambda^2}\frac{\psi^2(\xi)}{\xi}}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

where $\alpha = \ln x$ such that ${\rm d}\alpha/{\rm d}\tau = \sqrt{\xi}$ and $\lambda^2 = 3(1+w_{(\rm in)}) > 0$, since we are considering the case of a scalar field which behaves as an ordinary field in both low and high density regimes only, so that $w_{(\rm in)} > -1$. The special case $w_{(\rm in)} = -1$ will be treated separately.

According to the analysis done previously, if $\alpha_{\rm sc} < \alpha_{\rm sc}^{\rm crit}$ the energy density vanishes asymptotically ($\xi \to 0$), so that $\psi(\xi) = \alpha_{\rm sc}\xi + {\rm O}(\xi^2)$, whereas the scalar field is positively/negatively diverging with α. In fact, in this limit Eq. (2.16) gives the equilibrium solution

$$\xi = 0, \qquad \kappa(\phi_\pm - \phi_\pm^0) = \pm\lambda(\alpha - \alpha_0), \tag{2.17}$$

where one can set $\phi_\pm^0 = 0 = \alpha_0$ without any loss of generality. If $\alpha_{\rm sc} > \alpha_{\rm sc}^{\rm crit}$, instead, both the energy density and the scalar field tend to a constant value ($\xi \to \xi_*$, $\phi \to \phi_\pm^*$), so that $\psi(\xi) = \psi_* + (1-\psi_*)\alpha_{\rm sc}(\xi - \xi_*) + {\rm O}[(\xi-\xi_*)^2]$ and the equilibrium solution is

$$\xi = \xi_*, \qquad \phi_\pm = \phi_\pm^*. \tag{2.18}$$

1. *Case 1:* $\alpha_{\rm sc} < \alpha_{\rm sc}^{\rm crit}$

Linear perturbations $\xi = \epsilon\xi_{(1)}$ and $\kappa\phi_\pm = \pm\lambda\alpha + \epsilon\kappa\phi_{(1)}$ of Eq. (2.16) around the equilibrium solution (2.17) give

$$\frac{{\rm d}\xi_{(1)}}{{\rm d}\alpha} = -\lambda^2\xi_{(1)}, \qquad \kappa\frac{{\rm d}\phi_{(1)}}{{\rm d}\alpha} = \mp\lambda\sigma\xi_{(1)}, \tag{2.19}$$



FIG. 3: The behavior of ϕ (in units of κ, panel (a)) and $\dot{\phi}^2$ (in units of $\rho_{(\mathrm{crit}),0}$, panel (b)) as a function of the dimensionless scale factor x is shown for a SC model with the same choice of parameters as in Fig. 1. Initial conditions are chosen as in Fig. 1, with in addition $\phi_-(\tau_0) = -\phi_+(\tau_0) = 5$. The two branches ϕ_+ (lower) and ϕ_- (upper) asymptotically either diverge crossing each other ($\phi_\pm \to \pm\infty$) or approach a finite constant value for $\alpha_{\mathrm{sc}} \gtrapprox 2.455$. Notice that the labels $\pm$ refer to their asymptotic character in the diverging case. In particular, $\lim_{x\to\infty} \phi_\pm = \phi^*_\pm \approx \mp 4.47$ for $\alpha_{\mathrm{sc}} = 3$, and $\phi^*_\pm \approx \mp 4.87$ for $\alpha_{\mathrm{sc}} = 5$. The quantity $\dot{\phi}^2$, instead, vanishes asymptotically for every fixed value of α_{sc}.



FIG. 4: The behavior of the SC potentials $V_\pm$ (in units of $\rho_{(\mathrm{crit}),0}$, panels (a) and (b), respectively) corresponding to the two branches $\phi_\pm$ (in units of κ) of the scalar field is shown for the same choice as well as initial conditions as in Fig. 3. Arrows indicate the direction of approach to the asymptotic regime.

where ϵ denotes a smallness indicator and

$$\sigma = -\frac{3}{4}\frac{w_{(\mathrm{in})} g \alpha_{\mathrm{sc}}^2}{\lambda^2}, \tag{2.20}$$

implying that

$$\xi_{(1)} = \bar{\xi}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda^2 \alpha}, \qquad \kappa\phi_{(1)} = \pm\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}\xi_{(1)}. \tag{2.21}$$

Inverting the relation $\phi = \phi(\alpha)$ to the lowest order then gives

$$\xi = \bar{\xi}\, \mathrm{e}^{\mp\kappa\lambda\phi_\pm}, \tag{2.22}$$

where $\bar{\xi}$ is an integration constant and we have set $\epsilon = 1$.

The above first order solution does not depend on the SC parameters g and $\alpha_{\rm sc}$. Therefore, it is worth going to the next order looking for solutions of the form $\xi = \epsilon\xi_{(1)} + \epsilon^2\xi_{(2)}$ and $\kappa\phi_\pm = \pm\lambda\alpha + \epsilon\kappa\phi_{(1)} + \epsilon^2\kappa\phi_{(2)}$. Equations (2.16) then imply

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi_{(2)}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha} = -\lambda^2\left(\xi_{(2)} - 2\sigma\xi_{(1)}^2\right), \qquad \kappa\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi_{(2)}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha} = \mp\lambda\sigma\left[\xi_{(2)} - \left(\alpha_{\rm sc} - \frac{\sigma}{2}\right)\xi_{(1)}^2\right]. \tag{2.23}$$

Using the first order solution (2.21) we find

$$\xi_{(2)} = -2\sigma\bar{\xi}^2\,\mathrm{e}^{-2\lambda^2\alpha}, \qquad \kappa\phi_{(2)} = \pm\frac{2\alpha_{\rm sc} + 3\sigma}{2\lambda}\xi_{(2)}. \tag{2.24}$$

Expressing α in terms of ϕ by inverting perturbatively the relation $\phi = \phi(\alpha)$ finally leads to

$$\xi = \bar{\xi}\,\mathrm{e}^{\mp\kappa\lambda\phi_\pm}\left(1 - \sigma\bar{\xi}\,\mathrm{e}^{\mp\kappa\lambda\phi_\pm}\right). \tag{2.25}$$

Note that the solution (2.25) can also be obtained by expanding up to the second order in ξ both equations (2.16), which can be combined to yield

$$\frac{1}{\kappa}\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}\phi_\pm} = \mp\lambda\xi(1 - \sigma\xi), \tag{2.26}$$

with solution

$$\xi = \left(\frac{1}{\bar{\xi}}\mathrm{e}^{\pm\lambda\kappa\phi_\pm} + \sigma\right)^{-1}. \tag{2.27}$$

Therefore, the profile of the potential

$$\frac{V}{\rho_{(\rm crit),0}} = \frac{1}{2}\xi(1 - w_{(\rm in)}) + \mathrm{O}(\xi^2) \tag{2.28}$$

is of the form

$$V(\phi) = \frac{V_0}{\mathrm{e}^{\lambda\kappa\phi} + B}, \qquad B = \sigma\bar{\xi}, \qquad V_0 = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\xi}(1 - w_{(\rm in)})\rho_{(\rm crit),0}, \tag{2.29}$$

where we have dropped the sign indicator, since the $\pm$ signs correlate with those of ϕ_+/ϕ_- which are positively/negatively increasing during the evolution. Very close to the attractor solution one can neglect the constant term B, so that the SC potential is well approximated by a decreasing exponential, i.e.,

$$V(\phi) = V_0\,\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda\kappa\phi}, \tag{2.30}$$

which corresponds to the lowest order solution (2.22). Therefore, in this regime the SC fluid exhibits the same features of an ordinary fluid with a barotropic equation of state $p = w_{(\rm in)}\rho$ with constant parameter $w_{(\rm in)}$.

2. *Case 2:* $\alpha_{\rm sc} > \alpha_{\rm sc}^{\rm crit}$

Linear perturbations $\xi = \xi_* + \epsilon\xi_{(1)}$ and $\phi_\pm = \phi_\pm^* + \epsilon\phi_{(1)}$ of Eq. (2.16) around the equilibrium solution give

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi_{(1)}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha} = -K\xi_{(1)}, \qquad \kappa\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi_{(1)}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha} = 0, \tag{2.31}$$

implying that

$$\xi \simeq \xi_* + \bar{\xi}\mathrm{e}^{-K\alpha}, \qquad \phi_\pm \simeq \phi_\pm^*, \tag{2.32}$$

where $\bar{\xi}$ is an integration constant and

$$K = \lambda^2(1 + 2\alpha_{\rm sc}\xi_*) + 3w_{(\rm in)}g\alpha_{\rm sc}\psi_*, \tag{2.33}$$



FIG. 5: The behavior of the quantity K given by Eq. (2.33) as a function of $w_{(\rm in)}$ is shown for $g=-8$ and different values of $\alpha_{\rm sc}=[2.5,3,4,5]$. The values of ξ_* corresponding to each pair $(\alpha_{\rm sc}, w_{(\rm in)})$ are computed by Eq. (2.8).

which has to be positive to ensure stability. Its behavior as a function of $w_{(\rm in)}$ is shown in Fig. 5 for $g=-8$ and different values of $\alpha_{\rm sc}$ (for instance, for $\alpha_{\rm sc}=3$ and $w_{(\rm in)}=1/3$ we get $\xi_*\approx 0.85$ and $K\approx 2.27$). As a result, the potential does not depend on ϕ, but is a function of α

$$\frac{V}{\rho_{(\rm crit),0}} \simeq \xi_* + \bar{\xi}\left(1+\frac{K}{6}\right)\mathrm{e}^{-K\alpha}, \tag{2.34}$$

and the corresponding profile is

$$V(\alpha) = V_*\left(1+q\mathrm{e}^{-K\alpha}\right), \qquad q=\frac{\bar{\xi}}{\xi_*}\left(1+\frac{K}{6}\right), \qquad V_*=\xi_*\rho_{(\rm crit),0}. \tag{2.35}$$

For very large values of the scale factor, one can neglect the exponential term, so that the SC potential is well approximated by a constant, i.e., $V(\alpha)=V_*$. Therefore, in this regime the SC fluid acts as a cosmological constant.

3. *Case 3:* $w_{(\rm in)}=-1$

For $w_{(\rm in)}=-1$ the dynamical equations (2.16) reduce to

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}\alpha} = -\frac{3}{2}|g|\psi^2(\xi), \qquad \kappa\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi_\pm}{\mathrm{d}\alpha} = \pm\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}|g|}\,\frac{\psi(\xi)}{\sqrt{\xi}}. \tag{2.36}$$

The equation for ξ can be solved exactly (see also Ref. [3])

$$\xi = \frac{1}{\alpha_{\rm sc}}\ln\left(1+\frac{1}{y}\right), \qquad y = W\left(y_0\,\mathrm{e}^{\tilde{\sigma}\alpha+y_0}\right), \tag{2.37}$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}=\frac{3}{2}|g|\,\alpha_{\rm sc}$ and $W(z)$ denotes the principal branch of the Lambert W function such that $W(z)\mathrm{e}^{W(z)}=z$. Its asymptotic behavior for $z\to\infty$ is $W(z)\sim\ln z$, implying that for large values of the scale factor the solution behaves as $y\sim\tilde{\sigma}\alpha$ and $\alpha_{\rm sc}\xi\sim 1/(\tilde{\sigma}\alpha)$. Substituting then into the equation for $\phi=\phi_\pm$ gives $\kappa\phi_\pm\sim\pm 2\sqrt{\alpha}$, so that $\xi\sim 4/(\tilde{\sigma}\alpha_{\rm sc}\kappa^2\phi^2)$. Equivalently, the solution for ξ as a function of ϕ can be obtained by re-expressing Eq. (2.36) as

$$\frac{1}{\kappa}\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}\phi_\pm} = \mp\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}|g|\xi}\,\psi(\xi). \tag{2.38}$$

Close to the attractor solution $\xi \to 0$ the above equation becomes

$$\frac{1}{\kappa}\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}\phi_\pm} \simeq \mp\sqrt{\tilde{\sigma}\alpha_{\rm sc}}\xi^{3/2}, \tag{2.39}$$

with solution

$$\xi \simeq \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{\xi}}} \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\tilde{\sigma}\alpha_{\rm sc}}\kappa\phi_\pm\right]^{-2}, \tag{2.40}$$

where $\bar{\xi}$ is an integration constant such that $\xi = \bar{\xi}$ for $\phi = 0$. Therefore, the associated potential

$$\frac{V}{\rho_{({\rm crit}),0}} = \xi + {\rm O}(\xi^2) \tag{2.41}$$

is an inverse square function of ϕ at late times (where $\phi_\pm \to \pm\infty$), i.e.,

$$V(\phi) \simeq \frac{V_0}{(\kappa\phi)^2}, \qquad V_0 = \frac{4}{\tilde{\sigma}\alpha_{\rm sc}}\rho_{({\rm crit}),0}. \tag{2.42}$$

III. QUANTUM FEATURES

Let us study now the quantum features of the late-time evolution of SC cosmological models described in Sec. II. We will follow the approach of quantum geometrodynamics based on the WDW equation, which reads $\mathcal{L}_{\rm (wdw)}\Psi(\alpha,\phi) = 0$, where

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm (wdw)} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2}\left[\frac{\kappa^2}{6}\partial_{\alpha\alpha} - \partial_{\phi\phi}\right] + a_0^6 {\rm e}^{6\alpha}V(\phi), \tag{3.1}$$

with $\kappa^2 \equiv 8\pi G$ and $\alpha \equiv \ln(a/a_0)$, as specified before. Hereafter, we shall set $a_0 = 1$ and $\kappa^2 = 6$, for simplicity. As a second order differential equation (of the hyperbolic type in minisuperspace applications), the WDW equation requires two data at the Cauchy surface, i.e., the value of the function and its "time" derivative. A way to select physically meaningful solutions for the wave function $\Psi(\alpha,\phi)$ matching with quantum initial value data has been recently proposed in Ref. [12]. At the semiclassical level, these solutions are represented by oscillating waves in classically allowed domains of superspace, and exponential fall off in classically forbidden regions.

The WDW equation can be explicitly solved only for particular potentials or under some approximation. We will adopt the BO approximation, briefly reviewed in Appendix B (see, e.g., Ref. [13]), so that

$$\Psi(\alpha,\phi) = \sum_k \phi_k(\alpha,\phi)C_k(\alpha), \tag{3.2}$$

where ϕ_k and C_k are the slow and fast degrees of freedom, respectively, satisfying Eq. (B6). Since we are interested in the asymptotic behavior towards future attractor solutions, we will limit our investigations to approximate potentials in the neighborhood of such attractors. Therefore, we will consider below the two asymptotic SC potentials (2.29), (2.35) and (2.42), corresponding to Case 1, Case 2 and Case 3, respectively, discussed in Section II B. Very close to the attractor solutions the above potentials are well approximated by an exponential potential and a constant potential, respectively, which allow to find exact solutions to the WDW equation, as recalled in Appendix C.

A. Case 1

Let us consider first the potential (2.29). In the BO approximation, the equation for ϕ_k reads

$$\hbar^2\phi_k'' + 2\left[E_k(\alpha) - \frac{V_0{\rm e}^{6\alpha}}{{\rm e}^{\lambda\kappa\phi} + B}\right]\phi_k = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

which can be cast in the form of a hypergeometric equation

$$\left[z(1-z)\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}z^2} + [c - (a+b+1)z]\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z} - ab\right]f_k = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

through the transformation

$$\phi_k = z^{-e_k(\alpha)/2}(z-1)f_k, \qquad z = -B\,\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda\kappa\phi}, \tag{3.5}$$

with

$$a = 1 - \frac{1}{2}[b_k(\alpha) + e_k(\alpha)], \qquad b = 1 + \frac{1}{2}[b_k(\alpha) - e_k(\alpha)], \qquad c = 1 - e_k(\alpha) = a + b - 1, \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$e_k(\alpha) = \frac{2\sqrt{-2E_k(\alpha)}}{\lambda\kappa\hbar}, \qquad b_k(\alpha)^2 = e_k(\alpha)^2 + \frac{8V_0\mathrm{e}^{6\alpha}}{\lambda^2\kappa^2\hbar^2 B}. \tag{3.7}$$

Equation (3.4) has general solution

$$f_k = c_1(\alpha)F(a,b,c;z) + c_2(\alpha)z^{1-c}F(1+a-c,1+b-c,2-c;z). \tag{3.8}$$

The latter can be considered as a special case of the confluent Heun function, already shown to play a role in this context in Ref. [9], i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} f_k \;=\; & \tilde{c}_1(\alpha)(z-1)^{-a}\mathrm{HeunC}\left(0, b_k(\alpha), -e_k(\alpha), 0, \frac{1}{4}(b_k(\alpha)^2 + e_k(\alpha)^2); \frac{1}{1-z}\right) \\ & + \tilde{c}_2(\alpha)(z-1)^{-b}\mathrm{HeunC}\left(0, -b_k(\alpha), -e_k(\alpha), 0, \frac{1}{4}(b_k(\alpha)^2 + e_k(\alpha)^2); \frac{1}{1-z}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

We are interested in normalizable solutions, so as to obtain a discrete spectrum. Therefore, we will consider only those solutions such that the hypergeometric functions reduce to orthogonal polynomials, including Jacobi polynomials $P_k^{(\gamma,\delta)}$ and their special cases (Legendre or Chebyshev or Gegenbauer polynomials), by using the relation

$$F(-k, \gamma+\delta+1+k, \gamma+1; z) = \frac{k!}{(\gamma+1)_k}P_k^{(\gamma,\delta)}(1-2z), \tag{3.10}$$

where $(m)_n \equiv (m+n-1)!/(m-1)!$ is a Pochhammer symbol. They satisfy the orthogonality condition

$$\int_{-1}^{1}(1-x)^\gamma(1+x)^\delta P_j^{(\gamma,\delta)}(x)P_k^{(\gamma,\delta)}(x)dx = \frac{2^{\gamma+\delta+1}}{2k+\gamma+\delta+1}\frac{\Gamma(k+\gamma+1)\Gamma(k+\delta+1)}{\Gamma(k+\gamma+\delta+1)k!}\delta_{jk}, \tag{3.11}$$

with $\gamma, \delta, \gamma+\delta > -1$, and are normalized in such a way that

$$P_k^{(\gamma,\delta)}(1) = \binom{k+\gamma}{k}. \tag{3.12}$$

Therefore, in the limit of large ϕ (implying $z \to 0$) the functions f_k chosen as the Jacobi polynomials of Eq. (3.10) take a constant value. Equation (3.10) then implies

$$\gamma = -e_k(\alpha), \qquad \delta = 1, \qquad 2(k+1) = e_k(\alpha) - b_k(\alpha), \qquad e_k(\alpha) = k + 1 - \frac{2V_0\mathrm{e}^{6\alpha}}{(k+1)\lambda^2\kappa^2\hbar^2 B}, \tag{3.13}$$

and the equation for the functions $C_k(\alpha)$ becomes

$$\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\alpha^2} - \frac{1}{4}\lambda^2\kappa^2 e_k(\alpha)^2\right]C_k = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

This equation can be solved in terms of the Whittaker functions of the first and second kind $M_{\mu,\nu}(x)$ and $W_{\mu,\nu}(x)$ as

$$C_k = \mathrm{e}^{-3\alpha}\left[c_1 M_{\mu,\nu}(x_k) + c_2 W_{\mu,\nu}(x_k)\right], \tag{3.15}$$

with

$$\mu = \frac{1}{12}(k+1)\lambda\kappa = \nu, \qquad x_k = \frac{V_0\mathrm{e}^{6\alpha}}{3(k+1)\lambda\kappa\hbar^2 B}. \tag{3.16}$$

Regularity for large α requires $c_1 = 0$, and one can set $c_2 = 1$ without any loss of generality. The complete solution is thus given by

$$\Psi(\alpha,\phi) = \sum_k \frac{N_k k!}{(\gamma+1)_k} \mathrm{e}^{-3\alpha} W_{\mu,\nu}(x_k) z^{\gamma/2}(z-1) P_k^{(\gamma,\delta)}(1-2z), \tag{3.17}$$

where N_k is a normalization factor.

In order to calculate the wave packets and study their behavior close to the classical solution we need the Jeffreys-Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin (JWKB) solution of Eqs. (3.3) and (3.14), which is given by Eqs. (B7)–(B8) with phase factors

$$S_k^{\phi}(\alpha,\phi) = \hbar \int_{y_0}^{y} \mathrm{d}y' \left[-\frac{e_k(\alpha)^2}{4y'^2} - \frac{(1+k)(1+k-e_k(\alpha))}{y'(1+y')} \right]^{1/2}, \qquad y \equiv \frac{B}{\lambda\kappa} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda\kappa\phi}, \tag{3.18}$$

and

$$S_k^{\alpha}(\alpha) = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(k+1)\lambda\kappa\hbar(\alpha-\alpha_0) - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{6}\frac{V_0}{(k+1)\lambda\kappa\hbar B}(\mathrm{e}^{6\alpha} - \mathrm{e}^{6\alpha_0}). \tag{3.19}$$

Initial conditions are such that $\phi_k(\alpha_0,\phi_0) = 1$ and $C_k(\alpha_0) = 1$. Recalling that in the classical regime there exist two different branches $\phi = \phi_{\pm}$, $\Psi(\alpha_0,\phi)$ will be a superposition of two Gaussians $\Psi_{\pm}(\alpha_0,\phi)$, centered at $\phi_{\pm}^0$, i.e.,

$$\Psi(\alpha_0,\phi) = c_+\Psi_+(\alpha_0,\phi) + c_-\Psi_-(\alpha_0,\phi)\,, \qquad \Psi_{\pm}(\alpha_0,\phi) = \mathrm{e}^{-(\phi-\phi_{\pm}^0)^2/2\sigma^2(\alpha_0)}. \tag{3.20}$$

The corresponding amplitudes $A_k^{\pm}$ can be obtained by decomposing each Gaussian into the basis of eigenfunctions $\phi_k(\alpha_0,\phi)$ obtained above in terms of Jacobi polynomials, i.e.,

$$\Psi_{\pm}(\alpha_0,\phi) = \sum_k A_k^{\pm}\phi_k(\alpha_0,\phi)\,, \qquad A_k^{\pm} = \int \mathrm{d}\phi\; \mathrm{e}^{-(\phi-\phi_{\pm}^0)^2/2\sigma^2(\alpha_0)}\phi_k(\alpha_0,\phi), \tag{3.21}$$

so that the solution for the wave packet finally writes as

$$\Psi(\alpha,\phi) = \sum_k [c_+A_k^+ + c_-A_k^-]\mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{i}/\hbar)S_k(\alpha,\phi)}. \tag{3.22}$$

Very close to the attractor solution the potential (2.29) is well approximated by the exponential potential (2.30), i.e., $V(\phi) = V_0\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda\kappa\phi}$. In this case, the solution in the BO approximation is of the same form as Eq. (C10). Furthermore, since the classical solution has two branches $\phi_{\pm}$ (see Fig. 3), the wave packet is actually the superposition of two solutions of the same form (C19), but with different values of $\bar{k}$. Therefore, the squared modulus $|\Psi|^2$ will be proportional to the sum of the contributions of the separate packets plus an interference term, i.e., $|\Psi|^2 \propto |\Psi_1|^2 + |\Psi_2|^2 + 2\mathrm{Re}(\Psi_1^*\Psi_2)$. The latter may be responsible for decoherence effects [14, 15]. The spreading of the wave packet near the classical trajectory is shown in Fig. 6, where the behavior of $|\Psi|^2$ as a function of the coordinates (u,v) is plotted for an exponential potential solution of the WDW equation with $\lambda = 2$ and $V_0/\rho_{(\mathrm{crit}),0} \approx 1.2\times10^{-4}$ corresponding to the set of SC parameters $w_{\mathrm{in}} = 1/3$, $g = -8$ and $\alpha_{\mathrm{sc}} = 2$. Since the exponential potential approximation adopted here holds for very large values of ϕ (and hence for large values of u and v), the two branches of the wave function are well separated and one cannot envisage decoherence effects between them in this regime.

B. Case 2

Let us consider now the potential (2.35). In the BO approximation, the equation for ϕ_k reads

$$\hbar^2\phi_k'' + 2\left[E_k(\alpha) - V_*\mathrm{e}^{6\alpha}\left(1+q\mathrm{e}^{-K\alpha}\right)\right]\phi_k = 0. \tag{3.23}$$

Looking for solutions of the form $\phi_k(\alpha,\phi) = \mathrm{e}^{k\phi}f(\alpha)$, we get

$$E_k(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{2}\hbar^2k^2 + V_*\mathrm{e}^{6\alpha}\left(1+q\mathrm{e}^{-K\alpha}\right). \tag{3.24}$$

The equation for the functions $C_k(\alpha)$ then becomes

$$\left\{\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\alpha^2} - \left[k^2 - \frac{2}{\hbar^2}V_*\mathrm{e}^{6\alpha}\left(1+q\mathrm{e}^{-K\alpha}\right)\right]\right\}C_k = 0, \tag{3.25}$$



FIG. 6: The behavior of the (not normalized) squared modulus of the wave packet is shown as a function of the coordinates (u, v) for an exponential potential solution of the WDW equation with $\lambda = 2$ and $V_0/\rho_{(\mathrm{crit}),0} \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ corresponding to the set of SC parameters $w_{(\mathrm{in})} = 1/3$, $g = -8$ and $\alpha_{\mathrm{sc}} = 2$ (so that $\bar{\xi} = \xi(\phi = 0) \approx 3.5 \times 10^{-4}$). Since the classical solution has two branches $\phi_\pm$ (see Fig. 3), the wave packet is the superposition of two solutions of the same form (C19), but with different values of $\bar{k} = \pm 2/\sqrt{3}$. The remaining parameters are chosen as $c_1 = 1$, $\hbar = 1$ and $\sigma = 0.1$ for both Gaussians. The width of the single Gaussian profile increases quadratically in v [6] according to the relation $1 + \sigma^4\hbar^2(S_0'')^2 = 1 + 27\sigma^4\hbar^2 v^2$. The wave function is peaked around the two branches of the classical trajectory, which diverge for increasing values of u and v. Therefore, decoherence effects may be relevant in the region wherein they cross each other, but the approximation adopted to construct the wave packet is no longer valid there.

which does not admit explicit solutions.

However, for very large values of the scale factor the potential (2.35) is well approximated by the constant potential $V(\alpha) = V_*$. Therefore, neglecting the term $\mathrm{e}^{-K\alpha}$ in Eq. (3.25) leads to

$$C_k = c_1 J_{\frac{k}{3}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2V_*}}{3\hbar}\mathrm{e}^{3\alpha}\right) + c_2 Y_{\frac{k}{3}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2V_*}}{3\hbar}\mathrm{e}^{3\alpha}\right), \tag{3.26}$$

where $J_\nu(x)$ and $Y_\nu(x)$ are the Bessel functions of first and second kind, respectively. Regularity on the whole axis requires $c_2 = 0$, so that the general solution is

$$\Psi(\alpha, \phi) = \sum_k N_k \mathrm{e}^{k\phi} J_{\frac{k}{3}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2V_*}}{3\hbar}\mathrm{e}^{3\alpha}\right), \tag{3.27}$$

where N_k is a normalization factor.

C. Case 3

Finally, let us consider the case $w_{(\mathrm{in})} = -1$, with associated potential (2.42). In the BO approximation, the equation for ϕ_k reads

$$\hbar^2\phi_k'' + 2\left[E_k(\alpha) - \frac{V_0\mathrm{e}^{6\alpha}}{\kappa^2\phi^2}\right]\phi_k = 0, \tag{3.28}$$

with general solutions

$$\phi_k = \sqrt{|\phi|}\left[c_1(\alpha)J_\nu(k|\phi|) + c_2(\alpha)Y_\nu(k|\phi|)\right], \tag{3.29}$$

where

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 + \frac{8V_0}{\hbar^2\kappa^2}\mathrm{e}^{6\alpha}}, \qquad k = \frac{\sqrt{2E_k(\alpha)}}{\hbar}, \tag{3.30}$$

so that the index of the Bessel functions depends on α. The quantity k can be real or imaginary depending on whether the energy eigenvalue is positive or negative. Consider, for instance, the case $k^2 > 0$ (a more detailed account of inverse square potential in quantum cosmology can be found in Ref. [16]). Regularity on the whole axis requires $c_2 = 0$. Furthermore, the vanishing of ϕ_k for $|\phi| \to 0$ and its boundedness for $|\phi| \to \infty$ imply that the ϕ_k are orthogonal functions (with k not necessarily an integer). The equation for the functions $C_k(\alpha)$ then becomes

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\alpha^2} + k^2\right) C_k = 0, \tag{3.31}$$

with oscillating solution

$$C_k = d_1\mathrm{e}^{ik\alpha} + d_2\mathrm{e}^{-ik\alpha}. \tag{3.32}$$

Thus the full solution can be written as

$$\Psi(\alpha,\phi) = \sum_k N_k \sin(k\alpha)\sqrt{|\phi|}J_\nu(k|\phi|), \tag{3.33}$$

where N_k is a normalization factor.

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have investigated the properties of cosmological models with fluids obeying a Shan-Chen-like equation of state in the late-time stage of the evolution. The fluid evolution equations are coupled with Einstein's equations in a spatially flat FRW background. The equation of state for the fluid is of the type $p = w_{\mathrm{eff}}(\rho)\,\rho$, with $w_{\mathrm{eff}}(\rho)$ interpolating between constant asymptotic values at large and low energy densities. Such an equation of state is widely used in the context of molecular physics as representing systems undergoing phase transitions, and has been recently applied to cosmology to describe a possible scenario for the development of dark energy at the present epoch of our Universe as a transition between an ordinary matter state and an "exotic" one.

Our findings can be summarized as follows. We have found that there exist two attractor (equilibrium) solutions associated with the SC fluid dynamics at large values of the scale factor, depending on the parameters of the model. The system then evolves towards either a universe filled by an ordinary fluid with barotropic equation of state $p = w_{(\mathrm{in})}\rho$ and constant parameter $w_{(\mathrm{in})}$, or approaches a de Sitter universe with $p = -\rho = -\rho_*$. No future cosmological singularity arises during the evolution. We have also studied the associated quantum effects in the asymptotic regime in the framework of quantum geometrodynamics as described by the WDW equation. For this purpose, we have adopted here the equivalent scalar field representation of the fluid minimally coupled to gravity, leading to an interaction potential, whose profile has been determined by the classical asymptotic SC dynamics. We have then solved analytically the associated WDW equation in the BO approximation scheme and discussed its main features. We have explicitly constructed wave packets and analyzed their spreading around the corresponding classical solutions. At the classical level, the scalar field as a function of the scale factor has two branches extending to infinity, which asymptotically either diverge crossing each other or approach a finite constant value. In the former case the potential is well approximated by a decreasing exponential, whereas in the latter by a constant for very large values of the scale factor. Therefore, the wave packet is actually the superposition of two solutions of the WDW equation corresponding to two different sets of initial conditions. For instance, within the exponential potential approximation to the classical SC dynamics, we have found that the wave function is peaked and spreads around the two branches of the classical trajectory, which are but well separated. As a result, the interference effects are negligible in this regime.

Appendix A: Attractor solutions: stability analysis

In order to study the stability properties of the attractor solutions we follow the approach of Ref. [17] (see also Ref. [18]), where the background field equations for a two-component cosmological fluid (pressureless matter with density

$\rho_m = \rho_{m,0}x^{-3}$ plus a dark energy fluid with equation of state $p = p(\rho)$) are written in the form of a plane-autonomous dynamical system as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}X}{\mathrm{d}\alpha} &= 3X(X - Y - c_s^2),\\ \frac{\mathrm{d}Y}{\mathrm{d}\alpha} &= -3X(1 - c_s^2) + 3Y(1 + X - Y),\end{aligned} \tag{A1}$$

where $\alpha \equiv \ln x$, $c_s^2 \equiv \mathrm{d}p/\mathrm{d}\rho$ is the SC sound speed squared given by

$$c_s^2 = w_{(\mathrm{in})}\left[1 + g\alpha_{\mathrm{sc}}\left(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha_{\mathrm{sc}}\xi}\right)\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha_{\mathrm{sc}}\xi}\right], \tag{A2}$$

in terms of the dimensionless variables

$$X = \frac{\kappa^2}{6H^2}(\rho + p), \qquad Y = \frac{\kappa^2}{6H^2}(\rho - p), \tag{A3}$$

satisfying the Friedmann constraint $\kappa^2\rho_m/(3H^2) = 1 - X - Y$. We are interested in the late-time regime $x \to \infty$, where the SC fluid dominates over other components ($\rho_m \to 0$) and the corresponding sound speed approaches a constant value, i.e., $c_s^2 \to \bar{c}_s^2$, with

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{c}_s^2 &= w_{(\mathrm{in})}, \qquad \xi \to 0,\\ \bar{c}_s^2 &= w_{(\mathrm{in})}\left[1 + g\alpha_{\mathrm{sc}}\psi_*(1 - \psi_*)\right], \qquad \xi \to \xi_*.\end{aligned} \tag{A4}$$

The system (A1) thus has fixed points (a) $[X_0, Y_0] = [0, 1]$ and (b) $[X_0, Y_0] = [(1 + \bar{c}_s^2)/2, (1 - \bar{c}_s^2)/2]$.

Linear perturbations $X = X_0 + \epsilon X_{(1)}$ and $Y = Y_0 + \epsilon Y_{(1)}$ around the fixed point give

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}X_{(1)}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha} &= 3(2X_0 - Y_0 - \bar{c}_s^2)\,X_{(1)} - 3X_0\,Y_{(1)},\\ \frac{\mathrm{d}Y_{(1)}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha} &= 3(Y_0 + \bar{c}_s^2 - 1)\,X_{(1)} + 3(1 + X_0 - 2Y_0)\,Y_{(1)},\end{aligned} \tag{A5}$$

ϵ denoting a smallness indicator. The stability of critical points can be studied by evaluating the associated eigenvalues $m_{1,2}$, which are given by (a) $m_{1,2} = [-3, -3(1 + \bar{c}_s^2)]$ and (b) $m_{1,2} = [3(1 + \bar{c}_s^2), 3\bar{c}_s^2]$. Therefore, if the evolution is such that $\xi \to 0$ asymptotically, then $m_1 = -3$ and $m_2 = -3(1 + w_{(\mathrm{in})}) < 0$, implying that the solution is a stable node. In contrast, if $\xi \to \xi_*$ asymptotically, then the solution is stable for $\bar{c}_s^2 < -1$ only.

Appendix B: Born-Oppenheimer approximation to the WDW equation

Approximate solutions to the WDW equation can be obtained by carrying out a BO approximation [13]. Looking for solutions of the form

$$\Psi(\alpha, \phi) = \sum_k \phi_k(\alpha, \phi)C_k(\alpha), \tag{B1}$$

where ϕ_k and C_k are the slow and fast degrees of freedom, respectively (see below), the WDW equation becomes

$$\sum_k \left\{\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\left[\frac{\kappa^2}{6}(\ddot{\phi}_k C_k + 2\dot{\phi}_k\dot{C}_k + \phi_k\ddot{C}_k) - C_k\phi_k''\right] + \mathrm{e}^{6\alpha}V(\phi)\phi_k C_k\right\} = 0, \tag{B2}$$

where a dot now denotes the derivative with respect to α and a prime the derivative with respect to ϕ. The BO approximation requires that ϕ_k satisfies the equation

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\phi_k'' + \left[\mathrm{e}^{6\alpha}V(\phi) - E_k(\alpha)\right]\phi_k = 0, \tag{B3}$$

where the quantities $E_k(\alpha)$ play the role of eigenvalues of the differential operator $-\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\phi^2} + \mathrm{e}^{6\alpha}V(\phi)$, leading to

$$\sum_k \left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\frac{\kappa^2}{6}(\ddot{\phi}_k C_k + 2\dot{\phi}_k\dot{C}_k + \phi_k\ddot{C}_k) + E_k(\alpha)\phi_k C_k\right] = 0. \tag{B4}$$

Assuming then that the functions C_k vary much more rapidly with α than ϕ_k, one can neglect the terms $\ddot{\phi}_k C_k$ and $\dot{\phi}_k \dot{C}_k$, so that the previous equation reduces to

$$\sum_k \left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \frac{\kappa^2}{6} \ddot{C}_k + E_k(\alpha) C_k \right] \phi_k = 0. \tag{B5}$$

Therefore, the main equations become

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \hbar^2 \phi_k'' + 2 \left[E_k(\alpha) - \mathrm{e}^{6\alpha} V(\phi) \right] \phi_k, \\ 0 &= \hbar^2 \ddot{C}_k + 2 E_k(\alpha) C_k, \end{aligned} \tag{B6}$$

with a Schrödinger-like structure, where we have set $\kappa^2 = 6$, for simplicity. The BO approximation is best fulfilled close to the region of the classical solution.

1. Construction of wave packets

In order to study semiclassical as well as quantum regimes of the model it is useful to construct wave packets around the classical trajectories [13, 19]. One then needs the JWKB solution of Eq. (B6), i.e.,

$$\phi_k = \mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{i}/\hbar) S_k^{\phi}(\alpha,\phi)}, \qquad C_k = \mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{i}/\hbar) S_k^{\alpha}(\alpha)}, \tag{B7}$$

where both phase factors S_k^{ϕ} and S_k^{α} are taken to obey the Hamilton-Jacobi equation to zeroth order in $\hbar$. The wave packets thus have the general form

$$\Psi(\alpha,\phi) = \sum_k A_k \mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{i}/\hbar) S_k(\alpha,\phi)}, \qquad S_k(\alpha,\phi) = S_k^{\phi}(\alpha,\phi) + S_k^{\alpha}(\alpha), \tag{B8}$$

where the amplitude A_k depends on the initial conditions suitably chosen on the hypersurface $\alpha = \alpha_0$ corresponding to the classical solution, with $\Psi(\alpha_0,\phi)$ having a Gaussian profile with width $\sigma(\alpha_0) = \sigma_0$ centered at $\phi(\alpha_0) = \phi_0$, i.e.,

$$\Psi(\alpha_0,\phi) = \mathrm{e}^{-(\phi-\phi_0)^2/2\sigma_0^2}. \tag{B9}$$

Appendix C: WDW equation with exponential potential

The exponential potential is associated with a cosmological fluid with barotropic equation of state $p = w\rho$, $w =$ const. The energy conservation equation in this case yields

$$\rho = \rho_0 \left(\frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{3(1+w)} \quad \rightarrow \quad \xi = x^{-3(1+w)}, \tag{C1}$$

whereas the Friedmann equation leads to

$$\tau = \frac{2}{3(1+w)} x^{3(1+w)/2}, \tag{C2}$$

with $w > -1$. The scalar field as well as the potential then turn out to be

$$\kappa(\phi_\pm - \phi_\pm^0) = \pm\sqrt{3(1+w)} \ln x, \qquad \frac{V_\pm}{\rho_{(\mathrm{crit}),0}} = \frac{1}{2}(1-w) \mathrm{e}^{\mp\sqrt{3(1+w)}\kappa(\phi_\pm - \phi_\pm^0)}, \tag{C3}$$

or equivalently

$$\kappa\phi_\pm = \pm\lambda\alpha, \qquad V_\pm = V_0 \mathrm{e}^{\mp\lambda\kappa\phi_\pm}, \tag{C4}$$

where $\alpha = \ln x$, $\lambda^2 = 3(1+w)$ and $V_0 = (1-w)\rho_{(\mathrm{crit}),0}/2$, and we have set $\phi_\pm^0 = 0$ for simplicity.

1. Solution in the BO approximation

Let us consider the V_+ branch of the potential, so that the late time regime is reached for large positive values of $\phi = \phi_+$. Substituting then the profile $V(\phi) = V_0 \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda\kappa\phi}$ into the WDW equation (B2) yields the following general solution for ϕ_k

$$\phi_k(\alpha, \phi) = f_1(\alpha)\, I_\nu(x) + f_2(\alpha)\, K_\nu(x), \tag{C5}$$

where $I_\nu(x)$ and $K_\nu(x)$ are the modified Bessel functions of first and second kind, respectively, with

$$\nu = \frac{2\sqrt{-2E_k(\alpha)}}{\lambda\kappa\hbar}, \qquad x = \frac{2\sqrt{2V_0}}{\lambda\kappa\hbar}\mathrm{e}^{3\alpha-(\lambda\kappa/2)\phi}, \tag{C6}$$

whereas $f_1(\alpha)$ and $f_2(\alpha)$ are arbitrary functions of α. Regularity for large ϕ requires $f_1(\alpha) = 0$, and one can set $f_2(\alpha) = 1$ without any loss of generality. The energy eigenvalues are

$$E_k(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{8}\lambda^2\kappa^2\hbar^2k^2, \tag{C7}$$

so that the equation for the functions C_k becomes

$$\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\alpha^2} - \frac{1}{4}\lambda^2\kappa^2k^2\right] C_k = 0, \tag{C8}$$

whose solution is

$$C_k(\alpha) = c_1\mathrm{e}^{-(\lambda\kappa k/2)\alpha} + c_2\mathrm{e}^{(\lambda\kappa k/2)\alpha}. \tag{C9}$$

Regularity for large α requires $c_2 = 0$, and one can set $c_1 = 1$. Therefore, the complete solution to the WDW equation is

$$\Psi(\alpha, \phi) = \sum_k N_k \mathrm{e}^{-(\lambda\kappa k/2)\alpha}\, K_\nu(x), \tag{C10}$$

where N_k is a normalization factor.

2. Exact solution

The case of an exponential potential can also be solved exactly. In fact, the WDW equation (3.1) reads

$$\hbar^2\left[\partial_{\alpha\alpha} - \partial_{\phi\phi}\right]\Psi(\alpha, \phi) + 2\mathrm{e}^{6\alpha}V_0\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda\kappa\phi}\Psi(\alpha, \phi) = 0. \tag{C11}$$

If we change coordinates according to [6]

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \frac{\sqrt{2V_0}}{3}\frac{\mathrm{e}^Y}{1-(\lambda\kappa/6)}\left(\cosh X + \frac{\lambda\kappa}{6}\sinh X\right), \\ v &= \frac{\sqrt{2V_0}}{3}\frac{\mathrm{e}^Y}{1-(\lambda\kappa/6)^2}\left(\sinh X + \frac{\lambda\kappa}{6}\cosh X\right), \end{aligned} \tag{C12}$$

where

$$X = 3\phi - (\lambda\kappa/2)\alpha, \qquad Y = 3\alpha - (\lambda\kappa/2)\phi, \tag{C13}$$

Eq. (C11) becomes

$$\hbar^2\left[\partial_{uu} - \partial_{vv}\right]\Psi(u, v) + \Psi(u, v) = 0. \tag{C14}$$

This equation can be solved exactly, with general solution

$$\Psi(u, v) = \sum_k N_k \mathrm{e}^{k(u+v) - \frac{1}{4\hbar^2k}(u-v)} = \sum_k N_k \mathrm{e}^{k_u u}\mathrm{e}^{k_v v}, \tag{C15}$$

where N_k is a normalization factor and

$$k_u = k - \frac{1}{4\hbar^2k}, \qquad k_v = k + \frac{1}{4\hbar^2k}. \tag{C16}$$

3. Construction of wave packets

However, one can use the JWKB approximation, i.e., interpreting the wave function in terms of classical trajectories according to the decomposition

$$\Psi(u,v) = \int \mathrm{d}k A_k \left[c_1 \mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{i}/\hbar)S_k} + c_2 \mathrm{e}^{-(\mathrm{i}/\hbar)S_k} \right], \tag{C17}$$

where each phase factor $S_k = S_k(u,v)$ is taken to obey the Hamilton-Jacobi equation $(\partial_u S_k)^2 - (\partial_v S_k)^2 = 1$ at lowest order, with solution $S_k = ku - \sqrt{k^2-1}v$. This form of the solution is suitable to construct semiclassical wave packets and study their behavior close to the classical solution [13, 19]. The latter is recovered through the principle of constructive interference, i.e., $\frac{\partial S_k}{\partial k}|_{k=\bar{k}} = 0$, leading to $u/v = \bar{k}/(\bar{k}^2-1)$, where now u and v, or equivalently α and ϕ, are determined by the classical asymptotic SC dynamics. Choosing then for the amplitude a Gaussian with width σ centered around $k = \bar{k}$ corresponding to the classical solution, i.e.,

$$A_k = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\pi}\sigma\hbar)^{1/2}} \mathrm{e}^{-(k-\bar{k})^2/2\sigma^2\hbar^2}, \tag{C18}$$

one can study the spreading of wave packets around the classical trajectories. Following Ref. [6], the wave packet finally reads

$$\Psi(u,v) = c_1 \pi^{1/4} \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma\hbar}{1 - \mathrm{i}\sigma^2\hbar S_0''}} \mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{i}/\hbar)S_0 - S_0'^2/2(\sigma^{-2} - \mathrm{i}\hbar S_0'')} + \text{c.c.}, \tag{C19}$$

where the phase factor S_k has been Taylor expanded about $\bar{k}$ up to the second order (a prime denoting derivative with respect to k and $S_0 \equiv S_k(\bar{k})$), c.c. indicates the complex conjugate of the preceding term, and we have set $c_1 = c_2$ for simplicity. The second derivatives S_0'' are a measure for the dispersion of the wave packet around the classical path. In the case under consideration here, we have $S_0'' = v/(\bar{k}^2-1)^{3/2}$, so that the width of the Gaussian increases without limit for large values of v [6].

4. The limiting case of constant potential

The solution to the WDW equation with a constant potential $V(\phi) = V_0$ can be simply obtained from that corresponding to an exponential potential discussed above in the limit $\lambda \to 0$. The WDW equation (3.1) then reads

$$\hbar^2 \left[\partial_{\alpha\alpha} - \partial_{\phi\phi} \right] \Psi(\alpha,\phi) + 2\mathrm{e}^{6\alpha} V_0 \Psi(\alpha,\phi) = 0, \tag{C20}$$

and can be cast in the same form as Eq. (C14) through the transformation

$$\alpha \equiv \frac{1}{6} \ln \left[\frac{9}{2V_0}(u+v)(u-v) \right], \qquad \phi \equiv \frac{1}{6} \ln \left(\frac{u+v}{u-v} \right), \tag{C21}$$

with inverse

$$u = \frac{\sqrt{2V_0}}{3} \mathrm{e}^{3\alpha} \cosh 3\phi, \qquad v = \frac{\sqrt{2V_0}}{3} \mathrm{e}^{3\alpha} \sinh 3\phi. \tag{C22}$$

The JWKB solution is still given by Eq. (C17).

Acknowledgments

D. B. acknowledges ICRANet for partial support. G. E. is grateful to the Dipartimento di Fisica Ettore Pancini of Federico II University, Naples, for hospitality and support. The authors are indebted with Profs. C. Kiefer and S. Succi for useful discussions.

[1] X. Shan and H. Chen, Lattice Boltzmann model for simulating flows with multiple phases and components, Phys. Rev. E **47**, 1815 (1993).

[2] D. Bini, A. Geralico, D. Gregoris, and S. Succi, Dark energy from cosmological fluids obeying a Shan-Chen nonideal equation of state, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 063007 (2013).
[3] D. Bini, A. Geralico, D. Gregoris, and S. Succi, Scalar field inflation and Shan-Chen fluid models, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 044021 (2014).
[4] D. Bini, A. Geralico, D. Gregoris, P. Mocz and S. Succi, CMB constraints on cosmological models with fluids obeying a Shan-Chen-like equation of state, in preparation.
[5] B. S. DeWitt, Quantum theory of gravity. I. The canonical theory, Phys. Rev. **160**, 1113 (1967).
[6] M. P. Dabrowski, C. Kiefer, and B. Sandhöfer, Quantum phantom cosmology, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 044022 (2006).
[7] A. Kamenshchik, C. Kiefer, and B. Sandhöfer, Quantum cosmology with big-brake singularity, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 064032 (2007).
[8] A. Kamenshchik, Quantum cosmology and late-time singularities, Class. Quantum Grav. **30**, 173001 (2013).
[9] M. Bouhmadi-López, C. Kiefer, and M. Krämer, Resolution of type-IV singularities in quantum cosmology, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 064016 (2014).
[10] C. Kiefer, *Quantum Gravity* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2012), 3rd ed.
[11] F. Mandl and G. Shaw, *Quantum field theory* (John Wiley and Sons, London, 2010), 2nd ed.
[12] A. O. Barvinsky and A. Kamenshchik, Selection rules for the Wheeler-DeWitt equation in quantum cosmology, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 043526 (2014).
[13] C. Kiefer, Wave packets in minisuperspace, Phys. Rev. D **38**, 1761 (1988).
[14] J. J. Halliwell, Decoherence in quantum cosmology, Phys. Rev. D **39**, 2912 (1989).
[15] C. Kiefer, Decoherence in quantum electrodynamics and quantum gravity, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 1658 (1992).
[16] M. Bouhmadi-Lopez, C. Kiefer, B. Sandhofer and P. V. Moniz, On the quantum fate of singularities in a dark-energy dominated universe, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 124035 (2009).
[17] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and S. Tsujikawa, Properties of singularities in (phantom) dark energy universe, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 063004 (2005).
[18] E. J. Copeland, A. R. Liddle and D. Wands, Exponential potentials and cosmological scaling solutions, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 4686 (1998)
[19] A. Vilenkin, Boundary conditions in quantum cosmology, Phys. Rev. D **33**, 3560 (1986).